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#### Abstract

Traveling wave and standing wave fields are central to microwave applications. This paper discusses a third category of fields: "rotating waves" which, while ocassionally utilized in the past, are not commonly used or understood. Rotating waves are composed of a particular linear combination of standing waves, but have field profiles more similar to traveling waves. A rotating wave can be pictured as a frozen field rotating in space. An analysis is presented of rotating waves in cylindrical cavity resonators. The $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ rotating mode for a cylindrical resonator is discussed in some detail.


## I. InTRODUCTION

CIRCULARLY polarized electromagnetic fields have been utilized in various applications, for example: circularly polarized antennas [1], the gyratron [2], the gyrocon [3], the magnicon [4], [5], a cyclotron converter for microwave power to direct current [4], and a self-excited microwave oscillator [4]. The gyrocon and magnicon, developed in the former Soviet Union by Budker and Karlirner, respectively, use circularly polarized fields to achieve spiraling trajectories (beam scanning) in electron beams for the generation of very high microwave power. Fig. 1 shows a schematic of the magnicon, in which three rotating mode cavities are utilized. The first two cavities modulate the beam transversely. The third cavity extracts energy from the modulated beam converting it into several megawatts of RF output power.

In this paper, we use the term "rotating waves" to describe a general class of circularly polarized electromagnetic fields, in parallel to the terms "standing waves" and "traveling waves." We start by deriving the basic equations and discussing the general properties for rotating waves. Power, energy, and angular momentum of rotating modes are then derived. The equations for rotating electromagnetic fields in cylindrical resonators are presented. Finally, we end with a more detailed discussion of the $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ rotating mode in a cylindrical resonator, which has particular appeal for many microwave applications.
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Fig. 1. Magnicon schematic.

## II. Relationship Between Rotating Waves and Standing Waves

We start with a standing wave mode in a cylindrical cavity. For the sake of illustration, we initially consider only the $z$ component of the electric field for a TM mode cavity of radius $a$ and length $l$. The complete set of electric and magnetic field equations will be discussed in Section V. For the $z$ component of the electric field, the field representations $E_{z}^{(1)}(r, \phi, z, t)$ and $E_{z}^{(2)}(r, \phi, z, t)$ of two identical (but shifted in the $\phi$ direction), mathematically independent, degenerate standing waves of indices $m, n$, and $p\left(\mathrm{TM}_{m n p}\right)$, are given by [8]

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{z}^{(1)}(r, \phi, z, t)= & E_{0} J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \\
& \cdot \cos m \phi \cos k_{z} z \cos \omega t \\
E_{z}^{(2)}(r, \phi, z, t)= & E_{0} J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \\
& \cdot \sin m \phi \cos k_{z} z \cos (\omega t-\delta) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2,3, \cdots, J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right)$ is an $m$ th-order Bessel function of the first kind,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=c\left(k_{c}^{2}+k_{z}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the angular frequency, $c=(\mu \epsilon)^{-1 / 2}$ is the speed of light, $k_{c}=u_{m n} / a$ is the radial wave number, $u_{m n}$ is the $n$th root of $J_{m}(u)=0, k_{z}=p \pi / l$ is the $z$ directed wave number, and $\delta$ is an arbitrary temporal phase shift between the two waves. The index $n$ indicates the number of nodes (zeros) in the radial direction, and $m$ gives the azimuthal periodicity of
the wave. The last index $p$ gives the periodicity of the wave in the $z$ direction. For $m=0, \sin m \phi$ is zero everywhere making the $E_{z}^{(2)}(r, \phi, z, t)$ mode zero. Thus, for $m=0$, only the $E_{z}^{(1)}(r, \phi, z, t)$ mode exists. It is also important that the index $m$ is an integer so that the field at $\phi=2 \pi$ will smoothly blend with that at $\phi=0$.

Linear combinations of the standing wave modes $E_{z}^{(1)}$ and $E_{z}^{(2)}$ for $m \geq 1$ can create rotating modes [6], [7]. For instance, adding $E_{z}^{(1)}$ and $E_{z}^{(2)}$ and setting $\delta=\pi / 2$ yields a pure rotating mode

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{z}(r, \phi, z, t)=E_{0} J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos k_{z} z \cos (\omega t-m \phi) \\
m=0,1,2,3 \cdots \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Subtracting $E_{z}^{(1)}$ and $E_{z}^{(2)}$ in (1) also results in rotating waves which can be expressed by (3) considering now $m=$ $-1,-2,-3 \cdots$ (i.e., the $m$ in (3) equals $-m$ of (1) when we substract). Thus, in either case (adding or subtracting), (3) represents rotating modes for $m=\cdots-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3 \cdots$. A mode with positive $m$ value will rotate counterclockwise (positive $\phi$ direction), while its degenerate counterpart of equal magnitude but negative $m$ value will rotate clockwise (negative $\phi$ direction). An added benefit of (3) is that it assigns only one mode to each combination of $m$ and $n$, thus eliminating the need for the somewhat odd, doubly degenerate modes for $m \geq 1$ as in (1). Equation (3) can also be expressed in complex form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{z}(r, \phi, z, t)=E_{0} J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos k_{z} z e^{j(\omega t-m \phi)} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3) and (4) represent a pure rotating wave. The $\cos (\omega t-m \phi)$ term in (3) is similar to a $\cos (\omega t-k x)$ term in a traveling wave, with the only difference being that in this case the waves are traveling in the $\phi$ direction, around in circles. They appear as traveling waves chasing their tails. Fig. 2 shows the $z$ electric wave field in the $\mathrm{TM}_{520}$ mode. One can easily see the periodicity in the $\phi$ direction, $m=5$ in this case, and the number of zeros in the radial direction, $n=2$. The snapshot of a standing wave for this mode would not differ from the one of a rotating wave. It is the dynamics of the wave crests, as indicated by the arrows on the figure, that distinguish these as rotating waves. Standing waves appear to vibrate between fixed nodal lines and continually change their shape, i.e., have time dependence. A rotating wave, on the other hand, has a constant but moving field profile, similar to that of a traveling wave. Nevertheless, rotating waves do have discrete resonant frequencies with integer indices $[m, n$, and $p$ in (2)] similar to that of standing waves. Thus, rotating waves have properties of both standing and traveling waves.

In order to calculate the velocity with which this wave travels in the $\phi$ direction, we need to follow a feature, such as a node or a maximum, as the wave propagates. Such a feature is defined by a particular value $C$ of the argument of the last $\cos$ in (3) or value of the argument of the exponential of (4), i.e., $C=\omega t-m \phi=0,2 \pi, 4 \pi$, etc., for the wave peaks, or $\pi / 2,3 \pi / 2$, etc., for the nodes, and $\pi, 3 \pi$, etc., for the valleys. In general, for any particular feature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega t-m \phi=C \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2. Surface plot of $E_{z}$ in rotating $\mathrm{TM}_{520}$ mode.
where $C$ is a constant. After applying derivatives to (5), we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
m d \phi=\omega d t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rearranged to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \phi}{d t}=\frac{\omega}{m} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression indicates that the angular velocity of the rotating wave $\omega_{\text {rot }} \equiv d \phi / d t$ equals the RF angular frequency $\omega$ divided by the azimuthal index $m$. For example, for $m=4$, the wave would be rotating at an angular velocity equal to a quarter of the RF angular frequency, $\omega_{\text {rot }}=\omega / 4$. This is of special importance in high-frequency microwave applications. In devices like the magnicon, in order to obtain synchronism between fields and particles, the cyclotron frequency of the electrons $\Omega_{c}$ is matched to the rotating frequency of the cavities' fields, i.e., $\Omega_{c}=2 \omega_{\text {rot }}$ for the input cavities, $\Omega_{c}=\omega_{\text {rot }}$ for the output cavity. The cyclotron frequency is directly dependent on the axial magnetic field $B_{z}$ applied on the particles, $\Omega_{c}=e B_{z} / m_{o}$ [9]. Here $e$ and $m_{o}$ are the electron charge and mass, respectively. For high-frequency applications, very strong magnetic field systems would be necessary, which besides becoming large and expensive, could lead to potential problems such as overheating or beam pinchoff, among others. For a higher order mode cavity $(|m|>1)$, the rotating frequency of the fields will be smaller than $\omega$ by a factor of $1 / m$, hence relaxing the need for a strong axial magnetic field. Other potential applications may be encountered that also utilize the fact that while the cavity is resonating at $\omega$, its fields are rotating at $\omega / \mathrm{m}$.

## III. Energy, Power, and Angular Momentum in Rotating Waves

In an electromagnetic rotating wave resonance, the field components rotate in the $\phi$ direction. Moreover, the electric and magnetic fields, whose time and $\phi$ dependence are of the form $e^{j(\omega t-m \phi)}$, are "in phase" both in time and in position. This will be explained in more detail in Section V-A. This results in there being a $\phi$ directed time averaged Poynting vector $P_{\phi}$ produced by these fields and given by

$$
P_{\phi}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{H}^{*}\right)_{\phi}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are the complex representation of the electric and magnetic field components transverse to the direction of motion $\phi$.

A characteristic parameter of rotational motion is the angular momentum $L_{z}$. The $z$ directed angular momentum density $l_{z}$ equals the cylindrical radius $r$ times the linear momentum density $P \phi / c^{2}$ [10]-[12], where $c$ is the free-space phase velocity of the electromagnetic radiation. If we integrate $l_{z}$ over the volume of the resonator, we obtain an expression for the angular momentum $L_{z}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{z}=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{V} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{H}^{*}\right)_{\phi} r d V \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Maxwell equation $j \omega \boldsymbol{E}=c^{2} \mu \nabla \times \boldsymbol{H}$ yields

$$
L_{z}=-\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{V} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[j\left((\nabla \times \boldsymbol{H}) \times \boldsymbol{H}^{*}\right)_{\phi}\right] r d V
$$

Substituting specific components of the vectors in cylindrical coordinates, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{z}= & -\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{V} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} j\left\{\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial\left(r H_{\phi}\right)}{\partial r}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial H_{r}}{\partial \phi}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\cdot H_{r}^{*}-\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial H_{z}}{\partial \phi}-\frac{\partial H_{\phi}}{\partial z}\right] H_{z}^{*}\right\} r d V . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Utilizing the fact that the $\phi$ dependence of the fields is of the form $e^{-j m \phi}$, we have for (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{z}=\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{V}\left[\frac{1}{2} m|\boldsymbol{H}|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(j \nabla\left(r H_{\phi}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^{*}\right)\right] d V . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $U_{B}=(\mu / 4) \int_{v}|\boldsymbol{H}|^{2} d V$ for the magnetic energy [13] and expanding the term on the right, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{z}= & 2 \frac{m}{\omega} U_{B}+\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{V} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[j \nabla \cdot\left(r H_{\phi} \boldsymbol{H} *\right)\right. \\
& -j(\underbrace{\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^{*}}_{=0}) r H_{\phi}] d V \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the foremost right expression is zero from the Maxwell equation $\mu \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{H}=0$. Now applying the divergence theorem on the expression at the center, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{z}=2 \frac{m}{\omega} U_{B}+\frac{\mu}{2 \omega} \underbrace{\operatorname{Re}\left(j \oint_{S} r H_{\phi} \boldsymbol{H}^{*} \cdot d \boldsymbol{S}\right)}_{=0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression on the right is zero by the fact that $\boldsymbol{H}$ at the resonator wall is perpendicular to the wall surface vector $S$.

Finally, observing that the total magnetic energy $U_{B}$ comprises half the total field energy $U$, we obtain a final expression for the angular momentum

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{z}=\frac{m U}{\omega} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to note that while the fields rotate at $\omega_{\text {rot }}=\omega / m$, i.e., rotate slower for larger $m$ values, the angular momentum $L_{z}$, which is proportional to $m$, increases for larger $m$ values.

TABLE I
The $n$th Roots of $J_{m}(u)$

| m <br> n | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.405 | 3.832 | 5.136 | 6.380 |
| 2 | 5.520 | 7.016 | 8.417 | 9.761 |
| 3 | 8.654 | 10.173 | 11.620 | 13.015 |
| 4 | 11.792 | 13.324 | 14.796 | 16.223 |

TABLE II
The $n$th Roots of $r_{m}^{\prime}(u)$

| m | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3.832 | 1.841 | 3.054 | 4.201 |
| 2 | 7.016 | 5.331 | 6.706 | 8.015 |
| 3 | 10.173 | 8.536 | 9.969 | 11.346 |
| 4 | 13.324 | 11.706 | 13.170 | 14.587 |

In the output cavity of the magnicon, most of the gyrating beam energy is given to the cavity fields which result in an associated loss in the electrons' momentum. Clearly, by the conservation of momentum, the fields must gain an equivalent amount ( $L_{z}$ must increase accordingly) which in turn translates into the enhancement of the fields energy $U$.

## IV. Resonant Frequencies of Rotating Waves

From the derivations in Section II, we see that the resonant frequencies of the rotating modes are same as the resonant frequencies of standing waves modes [14], given in (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{m n p}=c\left[k_{c}^{2}+k_{z}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the expression for $k_{c}$ and $k_{z}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{m n p}=c \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_{m n}}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{p \pi}{l}\right)^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the resonant frequencies for the $\mathrm{TE}_{m n p}$ mode are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{m n p}=c \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_{m n}^{\prime}}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{p \pi}{l}\right)^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{m n}^{\prime}$ is the $n$th root of the $J_{m}^{\prime}(u) \equiv d J_{m}(u) / d u=0$. Tables I and II show the $n$th roots of $J_{m}(u)$ and $J_{m}^{\prime}(u)$, the $m$ th- order Bessel functions of the first kind, for different values of $m$ and $n$ for the various rotating modes of waves in a cylindrical guide. The frequency is a function of the index numbers $n$ and $p$, and the absolute value of $m$. For $|m| \geq 1$, the $+m$ and $-m$ modes are degenerate, as mentioned in Section II.

## V. Rotating Wave Fields in Cylindrical Resonators

The standard equations for the electromagnetic standing wave modes $\mathrm{TM}_{m n p}$ in cylindrical resonators are [8]

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{z}(r, \phi, z, t)= & E_{0} J_{m}\left(k_{\mathrm{c}} r\right) \cos k_{z} z \\
& \cdot \cos (m \phi) \cos \omega t \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{r}(r, \phi, z, t)= & -\frac{E_{0}}{k_{c}}\left(\frac{p \pi}{l}\right) J_{m}^{\prime}\left(k_{c} r\right) \sin k_{z} z \\
& \cdot \cos m \phi \cos \omega t  \tag{18}\\
E_{\phi}(r, \phi, z, t)= & \frac{E_{0}}{k_{c}^{2}}\left(\frac{m}{r}\right)\left(\frac{p \pi}{l}\right) J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \sin k_{z} z  \tag{19}\\
& \cdot \sin m \phi \cos \omega t \\
H_{r}(r, \phi, z, t)= & \frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}^{2}}\left(\frac{m}{r}\right) J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos k_{z} z  \tag{20}\\
& \cdot \sin m \phi \sin \omega t  \tag{21}\\
H_{\phi}(r, \phi, z, t)= & \frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}} J_{m}^{\prime}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos k_{c} z \cos m \phi \sin \omega t  \tag{22}\\
H_{z}(r, \phi, z, t)= & 0
\end{align*}
$$

By shifting phases (both in the angle $\phi$ and in time), and adding these as we did in Section II, we find the complete electromagnetic fields for the rotating wave modes in a $\mathrm{TM}_{m n p}$ cylindrical resonator:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{z}(r, \phi, z, t)= & E_{0} J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos k_{z} z \cos (\omega t-m \phi)  \tag{23}\\
E_{r}(r, \phi, z, t)= & -\frac{E_{0}}{k_{c}}\left(\frac{p \pi}{l}\right) J_{m}^{\prime}\left(k_{c} r\right) \sin k_{z} z \\
& \cdot \cos (\omega t-m \phi)  \tag{24}\\
E_{\phi}(r, \phi, z, t)= & \frac{E_{0}}{k_{c}^{2}}\left(\frac{m}{r}\right)\left(\frac{p \pi}{l}\right) J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \sin k_{z} z \\
& \cdot \sin (\omega t-m \phi)  \tag{25}\\
H_{r}(r, \phi, z, t)= & \frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}^{2}}\left(\frac{m}{r}\right) J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos k_{z} z \\
& \cdot \cos (\omega t-m \phi)  \tag{26}\\
H_{\phi}(r, \phi, z, t)= & \frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}} J_{m}^{\prime}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos k_{z} z \sin (\omega t-m \phi)  \tag{27}\\
H_{z}(r, \phi, z, t)= & 0 \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=\cdots-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3, \cdots$, and $J_{m}^{\prime} \equiv$ $\left(d / d k_{c} r\right)\left[J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right)\right]=\left[\left(m / k_{c} r\right) J_{m}\left(k_{c} r\right)-J_{m+1}\left(k_{c} r\right)\right][15]$. A discussion of the characteristics of and the difference between the standing wave and rotating wave representation of these fields is presented below for the $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ mode case.

## A. $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ Cylindrical Resonator Case

For a $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ mode cylindrical cavity, the standing wave representation (17)-(22) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{z}(r, \phi, t)=E_{0} J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos \phi \cos \omega t  \tag{29}\\
& H_{r}(r, \phi, t)=\frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}^{2} r} J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right) \sin \phi \sin \omega t  \tag{30}\\
& H_{\phi}(r, \phi, t)=\frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}} J_{1}^{\prime}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos \phi \sin \omega t \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

and the rotating representation (23)-(28) to

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{z}(r, \phi, t) & =E_{0} J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos (\omega t-\phi)  \tag{32}\\
H_{r}(r, \phi, t) & =\frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}^{2} r} J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos (\omega t-\phi)  \tag{33}\\
H_{\phi}(r, \phi, t) & =\frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}} J_{1}^{\prime}\left(k_{c} r\right) \sin (\omega t-\phi) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{1}^{\prime}=\left[\left(J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right) / k_{c} r\right)-J_{2}\left(k_{c} r\right)\right]$. The plots of $J_{m}$ are shown in Fig. 3 for $m=0,1,2$.


Fig. 3. Bessel function plots.

For standing waves, the fields (29)-(31) cycle between being totally magnetic and being totally electric; so that when the magnetic field is maximum, the electric field is zero, and vice versa. The magnetic lines wrap around in closed curves in both halves of the cavity, reinforcing each other at the center as shown in Fig. 4(a). The electric field lines go perpendicular (to the plane of the magnetic field lines) through the center of the magnetic field curves where the magnetic field is minimum. For rotating waves (32)-(34), the electric and magnetic fields are both always present (instead of cycling), and the field patterns of each are unchanging, but rotating in the $\phi$ direction. The profile of the electric field lines of a rotating wave is the same as that in the standing wave case. Also, the profile of the magnetic field lines is the same as in the standing wave case. However, the electric and magnetic field profiles have a different relative orientation in the rotating wave case as compared to the standing wave case. As shown in Fig. $4(\mathrm{~b})$, the electric field profile is rotated by $90^{\circ}$ relative to the magnetic field profile when compared to the standing wave case shown in Fig. 4(a). Considering fields off the resonator axis (at some radial coordinate value $r$ ), in the standing wave case, the electric field peaks at a different $\phi$ coordinate value than the magnetic field. In the rotating wave case, they peak at the same $\phi$ coordinate value and same time, creating a strong circulating Poynting vector $P_{\phi}=(1 / 2) \operatorname{Re}\left(E_{z} \times H_{r}^{*}\right)$ with the associated angular momentum discussed in Section III. In contrast, in the standing wave case, the Poynting vector averaged over an RF cycle is everywhere zero. Fig. 5(a) shows a snapshot surface plot for the rotating electric field $E_{z}$ of the $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ cavity. From (32) and (33) we see that the radial magnetic field component $H_{r}$ is proportional to $E_{z}$, so its snapshot surface plot would look the same as Fig. 5(a). Note also that, off-axis, the fields $E_{z}$ and $H_{r}$ being proportional to each other, peak simultaneously (in time and position) as they rotate, creating a rotating Poynting vector as stated above. As is well known, in a cavity at resonance (in a standing wave mode), the stored energy $U$ is constant and oscillates between electric and magnetic forms [16]. That is to say, because the electric and magnetic fields in the standing wave case are $90^{\circ}$ out of phase, the electric energy $U_{E} \propto|E|^{2}$ peaks when the magnetic energy $U_{H} \propto|H|^{2}$ is zero, and vice versa.


Fig. 4. (a) Electromagnetic field lines for standing wave $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{110}$ mode in a cylindrical resonator. (b) Electromagnetic field lines for rotating wave $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ mode.

In a rotating wave mode, the fields rotate in the $\phi$ direction, keeping their amplitude constant as they travel. Therefore, the total electric energy and the magnetic energy will both be constant in time and each equal to half the total stored energy:

$$
U_{E}(t)=U_{H}(t)=\frac{1}{2} U(t)
$$

To demonstrate the previous statement, we calculate the electric stored energy of a $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ rotating wave resonator as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{E}^{\top} & =\int_{V} \frac{\epsilon}{2}\left|E_{z}\right|^{2} d V \\
& =\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{a}\left|E_{0} J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos (\phi-\omega t)\right|^{2} r d r d \phi d z( \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

and the magnetic stored energy

$$
U_{H}=\int_{V} \frac{\mu}{2}\left[\left|H_{r}\right|^{2}+\left|H_{\phi}\right|^{2}\right] d V
$$



Fig. 5. (a) Surface plot of electric field $E_{z}$ for rotating $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ mode cavity. A similar plot of $H_{r}$ will have exactly the same shape. (b) Surface plot of total $H$ field $\left(\sqrt{H_{\phi}^{2}+H_{r}^{2}}\right)$ for a rotating $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ mode in a cylindrical resonator.

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{a}\left[\left|\frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}^{2} r} J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right) \cos (\phi-\omega t)\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\frac{\omega \epsilon E_{0}}{k_{c}} J_{1}^{\prime}\left(k_{c} r\right) \sin (\phi-\omega t)\right|^{2}\right] r d r d \phi d z \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

As expected, (35) and (36) give the same answer [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{E}=U_{H}=\frac{1}{4} \pi \epsilon a^{2} l J_{0}^{2}\left(u_{11}\right) E_{0}^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in the rotating wave case, the electric and magnetic stored energies are identical to each other, and are constant or time independent.
In both standing wave and rotating wave modes, the coefficient of the electric field $E_{0}$ can be found from (37). Substituting $U=P_{\text {in }} Q / \omega$ [18], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=\frac{2}{a J_{0}\left(u_{11}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{\omega \pi \epsilon l}\left(\frac{P_{i n}}{2}\right)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is the quality factor of the cavity and $P_{i n}$ is the power fed to the cavity. So by (32), the electric field magnitude at any $r$ value is $E_{0} J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right)$, and the maximum value in the cavity occurs at $k_{c} r=1.841$ and equals $0.582 E_{0}$. The magnetic field magnitude then is given by (33) and (34). Thus, for a given cavity, (38) allows us to determine the peak (and ever-present but moving) electric and magnetic field magnitudes inside of the cavity.

We calculate the resonant frequency of the $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ modes by examining the boundary conditions. The boundary conditions for the electric field component require that $E_{z}=0$ for $r=a$. Table I yields for $m=n=1, u_{11}=3.832$ (i.e., for $k_{c} r=3.832, J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right)=0$ as shown in Fig. 3). Equation (14) gives the resonant frequency

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{110}=c \frac{u_{11}}{a}=3.832 \frac{c}{a} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

## TM ${ }_{110}$ ROTATING

 MODE CAVITY

Fig. 6. Snapshot of an electron beam going through a $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ cavity.

Equation (7) indicates that the fields within this cavity will rotate at the angular velocity of $\omega_{\text {rot }}=d \phi / d t=\omega$. For example, for $a=18 \mathrm{~cm}$, the resonant frequency of the cavity would be $\omega=6.3 \cdot 10^{9} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}(f \approx 1 \mathrm{GHz})$ and the rotating angular velocity of the wave would also be $d \phi / d t=\omega=$ $6.3 \cdot 10^{9} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$.
The magnetic field $H=\sqrt{H_{\phi}^{2}+H_{r}^{2}}$ for the $\mathrm{TM}_{110}$ cavity, is shown in Fig. 5(b). It is this rotating magnetic field that is commonly utilized in the transverse modulation of particle beams (gyrocon, magnicon). Near the axis ( $k_{c} r \ll$ 1), $J_{1}\left(k_{c} r\right) \approx k_{c} r / 2$ and $J_{2}\left(k_{c} r\right) \approx\left(\left(k_{c} r\right)^{2} / 8\right)$. Letting $H_{x}=H_{r} \cos \phi-H_{\phi} \sin \phi$ and $H_{y}=H_{r} \sin \phi+H_{\phi} \cos \phi$, the magnetic field in rectangular coordinates can be expressed as

$$
H_{x}=\frac{E_{0}}{(2 c \mu)} \cos \omega t \quad H_{y}=\frac{E_{0}}{(2 c \mu)} \sin \omega t .
$$

At any point on-axis, the magnetic vector $\boldsymbol{H}$ is constant in amplitude, but rotates in the $\phi$ direction at the RF angular frequency $\omega$. The particles entering the cavity will then encounter this constant amplitude magnetic field rotating in the $\phi$ direction. Because the $\boldsymbol{H}$ field is constant, all particles (of velocity $v$ ) will be deflected by the same constant force $e \mu(v \times \boldsymbol{H})$; however, because the $\boldsymbol{H}$ field rotates in time, particles entering the cavity at different times will be deflected in different directions. Thus, upon exiting the cavity, the particles form a spiraling beam shown in Fig. 6.

## VI. CONClusions

Rotating waves are neither standing waves nor traveling waves but have the field profile similar to a $\phi$ directed traveling wave and the discrete resonant frequencies of standing waves. An analysis of electromagnetic rotating waves, including their field dependence on standing waves, frequencies, energy, and power, has been presented in this paper for cylindrical cavities. The concepts of angular momentum, resonant frequencies, and electromagnetic fields in different types of rotating wave modes have been studied. A number of interesting and unique properties of rotating waves were discussed, including their constant electric and magnetic field energies. We mentioned a range of current uses for rotating waves in this paper; however, it is hoped that rotating electromagnetic waves, once better understood, may be utilized in an even wider range of applications.
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